

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/829,056	04/21/2004	Sheldon H. Foss JR.	03001.1070	6800
7550 LAVA Group Law by Smith & Frohwein			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 88148			KAZIMI, HANI M	
Atlanta, GA 30	3356		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/829,056 FOSS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Hani Kazimi 3691 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 6-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/829,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on May 16, 2008. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volino US Patent No. 6,400,845 B1 in view of Risafi et al US Patent No. 6,473,500 B1

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26, Volino discloses a method and corresponding system for

Application/Control Number: 10/829,056

Art Unit: 3691

processing a point-of-sale transaction at a merchant terminal, comprising the steps scanning a personal identification document corresponding to a customer requesting a financial service at a merchant terminal; generating a scanned image of the personal identification document; identifying character data in the scanned image; comparing the character data to a document template corresponding to the personal identification document to generate customer data, mapping the character data using a document template to identify types of character data and assigning the character data as values for the identified types of character data to generate customer data used in processing the point-of-sale transaction, performing an optical character recognition process on the regions containing text to obtain customer data values, associating the customer data values with the definitions obtained from the template, and populating fields of a displayed form with the customer data values as claimed in claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-26 (abstract, figs. 2, 3, 5A, 6 and 10-12 and related text in the detailed description, and column 2, line 30 thru column 3).

Volino does not explicitly teach an interface configured to communicate customer data interpreted from the personal identification document to a host processing element that supports a financial service transaction via the merchant terminal.

Risafi teaches an interface configured to communicate customer data interpreted from the personal identification document to a host processing element that supports a financial service transaction via the merchant terminal (column 6, line 47 thru column 8, line 52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Volino to include an interface configured

Art Unit: 3691

to communicate customer data interpreted from the personal identification document to a host processing element that supports a financial service transaction via the merchant terminal as taught by Risafi. One would have been motivated to do so in order to provide verification and/or authorization of data from the central processing element.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (571) 272-6745. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/829,056

Art Unit: 3691

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Page 5

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hani M. Kazimi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691